



REQUEST FOR SCIENTIFIC EXPLANATION

When we are examined the scientific studies published by the World Turkish Cuisine Academy; we have determined that there are scientific contradictions regarding your book awards. Reports on related subjects were also shared with the world public and the authors of the relevant books.

The authors of the books have not been able to make a scientific explanation on the issues we will convey to you.

In addition to the awards you have given from the past to the present, the scientific explanations of the awards you have given for Omur Akkor's book "Early Period Islamic Cuisine and Culture" and the book "The Turkish Cookbook" by Musa Dagdeviren and the information contained in these books, if any, with the books you have given awards. We request you to disclose the relevant scientific reports to us and to the public.

Scientific studies created by the World Turkish Cuisine Academy show that: The information contained in the books you can award with the phrase "Best in the World" can be far from truth. Some examples of these have been explained to the world public in detail in the reports and studies we will forward to you.

Based on all the data we have transmitted, we ask you:

1. Based on what scientific data and authority; how could you describe the book as "Best in the World" by awarding the first prize to the work of Omur Akkor, who wrote the table of the Prophet Muhammad (PBUH) and the Islamic Cuisine in his book incorrectly, far from scientific accuracy?
2. For what and according to which evaluations do you give the awards you have given?
3. What scientific studies have you conducted regarding the accuracy of the information in the contents of the books you have awarded, and what are your bases, if any, in these studies?
4. What kind of procedure do you follow in order to confirm the accuracy of the books you have awarded and the information and resources contained in them?

5. Is there a scientific basis for the books you have awarded, such as our reports in the examples we have sent to you, and the information in these books have comparative scientific studies based on research? If so, can you share them with us and the public?
6. What is the legitimate ground and scientific explanation of the awards you are giving?
7. Do you have valid reasons that you can present to us and the public so that we can qualify and evaluate your awards as fair and scientific? If so, what are these reasons?
8. Do you give awards by checking the accuracy of the information in the book or not?
9. If you confirm the accuracy of the information in the contents of the books, what do you think might be the reasons for the scientific contradictions we have identified?
10. Why do you give an award by saying "Best in the World" for the books you are giving an award without scientific basis or studies or without researching the books that may be better on similar subjects?
11. If you have scientific basis for the sources proving the validity of your awards and the information contained in the books you have awarded, can you share them with us and the public?

While we hope you can answer these questions; We can also say with our strong scientific arguments based on the information we have determined that you cannot give a scientific answer to these issues.

We comment on two of the awards you have given, based on scientific data and studies. As a result of extensive scientific research, it can be understood that most of the awards you give are not given by making fair evaluations.

From this point of view, we argue that all the awards you have given are in need of confirmation by extensive studies. The awards you have given for the two books in question do not have scientific validity. From this point of view, it is essential that the accuracy of all the awards you give without scientifically confirming the information contained in the contents of the books should be scientifically researched in detail and confirmed with comparative reports. As long as you cannot do this, we strongly argue that all the awards you give can never have a legitimate scientific basis. The World Turkish Cuisine Academy has not approved the awards you have given to the related books based on scientific evidence and studies, and your awards have been annotated. We would like to express that, if detailed researches are carried out, it is very likely that we will not be able to find a legitimate scientific basis for most of the books you are awarding.

In addition: We condemn you for awarding books that contain scientifically inaccurate information as the best in the world. We annotate all the awards you can give without scientifically comparing detailed evaluation reports and without scientifically confirming the information in the contents of the books.

Notify You

On behalf of the World Turkish Cuisine Academy

TCRM-TURKISH CUISINE RESURRECTION MOVEMENT

SECRETARIAT GENERAL



-
- <https://tmdh.net/raporlar/item/529-table-of-the-messenger-of-allah-comparative-report.html>
 - <https://tmdh.net/raporlar/item/249-the-turkish-cookbook-turk-mutfagi-icin-uygun-degildir-raporu.html>
 - <https://tmdh.net/makaleler/item/527-what-is-islamic-cuisine-culture-and-what-is-not.html>
 - <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pqZvnOJtRSw&t=1755s>
 - <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sHy9Q5m30RY&t=360s>
 - <https://tmdh.net/duyurular/item/531-omur-akkor-a-gonderilmis-olan-mektup.html>
 - <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bKR9eXVD0gA>
 - <https://m.haberturk.com/bursa-haberleri-amp/8684038-peygamber-sofrasi-ile-ulastigi-birinciligin-gururunu-yasiyor>
 - <http://www.ucuncunesil.com/2016/06/22/10003>
 - <https://anayurtgazetesi.com/amp/haber/10523271/lezzet-oskarina-sef-omur-akkor-secildi>
 - <https://www.aa.com.tr/en/turkey/turkish-writer-wins-2012-gourmand-cookbook-award/271322>